1. The ICCC Committees
Organizing Committee established two groups of experts.
The smaller Steering Committee controls and advises the Congress preparation in particular in the selection of topics and keynote speakers.
The members of the larger editing Scientific Committee evaluate individual contributions in the stage of abstracts, papers, oral a poster presentation.
The full names and affiliations of the both committee members who are recognized experts in the field are provided on the Congress website. Contact information for all committees is on the Congress website.

2. Publication and authorship
The congress organizers will publish two publications, therefore – the full abstracts of all accepted papers will be published in the printed Book of Abstracts and all accepted papers and posters will be published in the electronic Papers and Posters Proceedings.
Both publications will be available to all Congress participants.
Authors need to ensure that the submitted article is the work of the submitting author(s) and is not plagiarised, wholly or in part. They must also make sure that the submitted article is original, is not wholly or in part a re-publication of the author’s earlier work, and contains no fraudulent data.
It is also their responsibility to check that they have received permission to use and publish all copyrighted material within the article and that material for which the author does not personally hold the copyright is not reproduced without permission.
Finally, authors ensure that the manuscript submitted is not currently being considered for publication elsewhere.

3. Peer review process and reviewers' and authors' responsibilities
All reviewing process in all stages runs on the electronic and anonymous principle. Each submission is anonymously reviewed by two independent experts.
Both the Reviewer and the Author remains anonymous throughout the peer-review process. Reviewers are selected according to their expertise in their particular fields.
Reviewers have a responsibility to be objective in their judgments; to have no conflict of interest with respect to the research, with respect to the authors and/or with respect to the research funders; to point out relevant published work which is not yet cited by the author(s); and to treat the reviewed articles confidentially.
Peer-reviewers should exclude themselves from evaluating process in cases where there is a significant conflict of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions associated with the papers.

4. Publication ethics
Reviewers are responsible for deciding which articles submitted to the Congress should be published, which will be consistent with the recommendations of the reviewers. The decision to accept or reject a paper is based on the paper’s academic merit, originality, clarity and its relevance to the journal’s scope without personal preferences.

Reviewers ensure the monitoring and safeguarding of the Congress publication ethics. This comprises the strict policy on plagiarism and fraudulent data, the strong commitment to publish corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed, and the strict preclusion of business needs from compromising intellectual and ethical standards.

5. Copyright and Access
The all authors must own all the copyrights related to the manuscript and paper/poster. When using ideas or results of other co-authors in manuscripts submitted for publication, co-authors will give full attribution of sources.
Citations, illustrations or tables from other publications or manuscripts may be used only with permission of the copyright owner.

6. Access and archiving
Printed Book of Abstracts and electronic Papers and Posters Proceedings will be available and archived according to the Czech library legislation. Both publications will be available to all paying Congress participants.